

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/848,318 05/04/2001 Kengo Okajima 206732US0 7354 22850 7590 04/22/2004 EXAMINER OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1754

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1,7
	09/848,318	OKAJIMA, KENGO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status		•	
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Fe</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pi		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 29 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			121/4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		•	

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and sodium chloride species in Paper filed February 9, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the purified salts of Group II are prepared by the processes of Group I, thus, the search for Group I considerably overlaps with that for Group II. This is not found persuasive because the salts of Group II can be produced by a materially different process such as by reacting 2 pure reactants to form pure salt, without requiring any purification step. The search of the product is different than that for the process.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13, 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear if "the material", which is subjected to heat treatment, refers to just the "organic material" or to the "inorganic salt containing and organic material".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-12, 15, 18-22, 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zampieri (5,122,233).

Zampieri '233 discloses a process for treating mixture of brines and contaminates mineral salts (note title).

The process comprises the steps of

- a) substantially separating organic components from the process mixture,
- b) subjecting the resulting mixture to vacuum evaporation step,
- c) thermally treating the partially dried mineral salts or mixtures thereof at an elevated temperature and thereafter obtaining pure dry mineral salts or mixture thereof,
- d) condensing the vapor resulting from the vacuum evaporation step and subjecting said vapor to a reverse osmosis step and
- e) obtaining from said reverse osmosis step pure water (note column 3, lines 7-21).

 The granular size of the salt crystals is from 0.2-03 mm (note column 5, lines 1-

5). The sodium chloride can be mixed with other salts as well as small quantities of natural or process-caused contaminations, such as chlorides of other metals, bromides, iodides, carbonates, hydrogen carbonates, phosphates or sulphates or other salts. In the next step, the mineral salts or mixtures thereof, are subjected to a thermal treatment at an elevated temperature. This can be carried out by traditional means, for example a

conveyor belt (which is considered as a moving bed) or a rotary tubular kiln (note

Art Unit: 1754

column 6, line 21-42). The thermal treatment of the mineral salts or mixtures thereof is carried out at an elevated temperature. The temperature is preferred in the range of 140 to 500 °C. This range overlaps the claimed range.

With respect to the encompassing and overlapping ranges previously discussed, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to select the portion of the prior art's range which is within the range of the applicants' claims because it has been held prima facie case of obviousness to select a value in a known range by optimization for the results. *In re Boesch*, 205 USPQ 215. Additionally, the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Malagari*, 182 USPQ.

The chloride of other metal can be considered as an "oxidizing agent" and the mixture of the sodium chloride with the chloride of other metal is considered as the claimed "chemical treatment" step.

For the source of sodium chloride, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any sodium chloride starting material as long as such starting material is in need to be purified.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1356. The examiner is currently on Part time schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stan Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed (571) 272-1700.

Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754

nmn April 19, 2004